

## Financial Bid Evaluation Report

(As per Rule 35 of Public Procurement Rules, 2017)

1. **Name of Procuring Agency:** AJK Police Department
2. **Method of Procurement:** Open Competitive Bidding
3. **Title of Procurement:** Purchase of Uniform Articles
4. **Tender Inquiry No.** N/A
5. **PPRA Ref. No.** N/A
6. **Date & Time of Bid Closing** 22.11.2018 at 12:00PM
7. **Date & Time of Bid Opening** 22.11.2018 at 12:30PM
8. **No. of Bids Received** 07
9. **Criteria for Bid Evaluation** Lowest evaluated cost
10. **Details of Bid(s) Evaluation** Company/Firm having registration with Income Tax, General Sales Tax departments, Copies of NTN Certificate, Sales Tax Certificate and valid tax return report for last three years must be provided. Affidavit of non-black list firm, dealership, specifications given in bidding documents.

### 1. Qamar Band

**Qty: 500Nos**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Saghir Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd	80	Qualified	1599/-	<b>Accepted</b> being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Haris Traders Lahore	80	Qualified	1650/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Saghir Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

### 2. Nawar

**Qty: 2500kg**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Trade Zone International Peshawar	90	Qualified	475/kg	<b>Accepted</b> being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Faisal Enterprises Rpd	90	Qualified	475/kg	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

\* Fail in more than 2 categories of test will disqualify

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Trade Zone International Peshawar (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

### 3. Beret Cap

**Qty: 14710 Nos**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Saleem Trading Corporation Rwp	49	Qualified	289/-	<b>Accepted</b> being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Faisal Enterprises Rawalpindi	49	Qualified	297/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **49** out of 100 marks.

\* Fail in more than 2 categories of test will disqualify

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/Saleem Trading Corporation Rawalpindi (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**4. Fabric Traffic Police**

Qty: 4130mtr

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Saghir Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd	85	Qualified	649/Mtr	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Haris Traders Lahore	70	Qualified	670/Mtr	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

\* Fail in more than 2 categories of test will disqualify

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- M/S **Saghir Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd** (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)

**5. DMS Boot**

Qty: 1000pair

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Chand Engineering Works Peshawar	97	Qualified	5232/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Trade Zone International Peshawar	68	Disqualified		Unresponsive being fail in 11 test categories

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

\* Fail in more than 5 categories of test will disqualify

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- M/S **Chand Engineering Works Peshawar** (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)

**6. Charpoy**

Qty: 150 Nos

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Chand Engineering Works Peshawar	95	Qualified	6312/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Trade Zone International Peshawar	90	Qualified	6435/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- M/S **Chand Engineering Works Peshawar** (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)

**7. Galvanized Box**

Qty: 150Nos

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Chand Engineering Works Peshawar	98	Qualified	5265/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Trade Zone International Peshawar	90	Qualified	5616/-	Fail to score minimum qualifying marks

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- M/S **Chand Engineering Works Peshawar** (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)

**8. Hand Cuff**

Qty: 100Nos

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Chand Engineering Works Peshawar	80	Qualified	2196/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Haris Traders Lahore	90	Qualified	2400/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- M/S **Chand Engineering Works Peshawar** (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)

**9. Belt Leather****Qty: 200Nos**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Haris Traders Lahore	90	Qualified	1300/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Saghir Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd	90	Qualified	1499/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

\* Fail in more than 2 categories of test will disqualify

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Haris Traders Lahore (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**10. Fabric Cloth Zeen Khaki****Qty: 15000mtrr**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Chand Engineering Works Peshawar	97	Qualified	490.50/Mtr	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Saleem Trading Corporation Rwp	97	Qualified	494/Mtr	2nd lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **77** out of 100 marks.

\* Fail in more than 2 categories of test will disqualify

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Chand Engineering Works Peshawar (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**11. Fabric Militia****Qty: 19690mtr**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Chand Engineering Works Peshawar	83	Qualified	444.72/Mtr	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Saleem Trading Corporation Rwp	96	Qualified	449/Mtr	2nd lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **77** out of 100 marks.

\* Fail in more than 2 categories of test will disqualify

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Chand Engineering Works Peshawar (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**12. Ground Sheet****Qty: 2651 Nos**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Saleem Trading Corporation Rwp	80	Qualified	545/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Irshad & Brothers Rpd	70	Qualified	615/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

\* Fail in more than 2 categories of test will disqualify

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Saleem Trading Corporation Rawalpindi (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**13. Badges Traffic****Qty: 600set**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Saghir Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd	80	Qualified	2799/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Haris Traders Lahore	80	Qualified	2850/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

\* Fail in more than 2 categories of test will disqualify

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Saghir Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**14. Rain Coat****Qty: 1500 Pair**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Sagher Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd	80	Qualified	1800/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Faisal Enterprises Rawalpindi	60	Disqualified	Nil	Fail to score minimum qualifying marks

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

\* Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Sagher Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**15. Sock Warm Navy Blue****Qty: 15710Pair**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Saghir Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd	75	Qualified	249/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Haris Traders Lahore	85	Qualified	255/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

\* Fail in more than 2 categories of test will disqualify

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Saghir Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**16. Peaked Cap Traffic****Qty: 1200Nos**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Saghir Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd	75	Qualified	275/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Haris Traders Lahore	70	Qualified	290/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

\* Fail in more than 2 categories of test will disqualify

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Saghir Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**17. Umbrella Traffic****Qty: 400Nos**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Sagher Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd	90	Qualified	810/-	<b>Accepted</b> being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Haris Traders Lahore	80	Qualified	870/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Sagher Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**18. Vest White****Qty: 7900 Nos**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Saleem Traders Rwp	70	Qualified	295/-	<b>Accepted</b> being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Faisal Enterprises Rawalpindi	45	Disqualified	Nil	Disqualified being fail to score minimum qualifying marks

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

\* Fail in more than 2 categories of test will disqualify

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Saleem Trading Corporation Rawalpindi (Technically 1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**19. Steel Helmet****Qty: 500Nos**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Faisal Traders Rawalpindi	70	Qualified	1890/-	<b>Accepted</b> being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Haris Traders Lahore	80	Qualified	2550/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Faisal Traders Rawalpindi (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**20. Fabric Pocket****Qty: 5000mtr**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Irshad & Brothers Rpd	80	Qualified	85/-	<b>Accepted</b> being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Chand Engineering Works Peshawar	80	Qualified	198/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive
Trader Zone International Peshawar	80	Qualified	209/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/ Irshad & Brothers Rawalpindi (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**21. Blanket Warm**

Qty: 2651 Nos

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Chand Engineering Works Peshawar	90	Qualified	6499.67/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Trade Zone International Peshawar	45	Disqualified	Nil	Technically Disqualified

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are 70 out of 100 marks.

\* Fail in more than 2 categories of test will disqualify

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- M/S Chand Engineering Works Peshawar (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)

**22. Gloves White**

Qty: 500Pair

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Faisal Enterprises Rawalpindi	70	Qualified	54/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Haris Traders Lahore	70	Qualified	105/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive
Saghir Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd	70	Qualified	105/	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are 70 out of 100 marks.

\* Fail in more than 2 categories of test will disqualify

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- M/S Faisal Enterprises Rawalpindi (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)

**23. Riot Shield**

Qty: 1500 Pair

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Faisal Enterprises Rawalpindi	90	Qualified	3950/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Haris Traders Lahore	90	Qualified	3990/-	2nd lowest responsive
Sagher Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd	60	Disqualified	Nil	Fail to score minimum qualifying marks

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are 70 out of 100 marks.

\* Lowest Evaluated Bid:- M/S Faisal Enterprises Rawalpindi (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)

**24. Riot Jacket**

Qty: 500 Nos

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Chand Engineering Works Peshawar	80	Qualified	11445/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Trader Zone International Peshawar	70	Qualified	11608.50/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive
Faisal Enterprises Rpd.	60	Disqualified	Nil	Nonresponsive being technically disqualify in the item

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are 70 out of 100 marks.

\* Fail in more than 2 categories of test will disqualify

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- M/ Chand Engineering Works Peshawar (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)

**25. Thermal Vest with Trouser****Qty: 1282 pair**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Sagheer Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd	85	Qualified	1599/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Haris Traders Lahore	80	Qualified	1800/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive
Faisal Enterprises Rpd	85	Disqualified	Nil	Nonresponsive being not participated in the financial bid

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Sagheer Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**26. Woolen Jersey****Qty: 2770 Nos**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Faisal Interprises Rawalpindi	65	Qualified	1575/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Saghir Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd	71	Qualified	1749/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **63** out of 100 marks.

\* Fail in more than 2 categories of test will disqualify

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Faisal Enterprises Rawalpindi (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**27. Track Suit****Qty: 300 Pair**

Only single bid was received for the subject item hence technical committee has recommended to retender the subject item in order to have a healthy competition

**28. Fabric Lady Uniform****Qty: 1030mtr**

Five firms participated in the items but the quality of the fabric ladies uniform provided by the firms is very poor, and color is not as per requirement. Hence technical committee has recommended retendering the subject item in order to have a healthy competition

**29. Mosquito Net****Qty: 500 Nos**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Trade Zone International Peshawar	80	Qualified	1460.60/-	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Chand Engineering Works Peshawar	90	Qualified	1471.50/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Chand Engineering Works Peshawar (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**30. Fabric MT****Qty: 200Mtr**

Only single bid was received for the subject item hence technical committee has recommended to retender the subject item in order to have a healthy competition

**31. Jogger Shoes****Qty: 300Pair**

Only single bid was received for the subject item hence technical committee has recommended to retender the subject item in order to have a healthy competition

**32. Sash Patti****Qty: 100Nos**

Name of Bidder	Evaluation		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD */Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
	Technical (Marks)	Financial (Cost per Unit)		
Sagheer Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd	90	Qualified	290/-	<b>Accepted</b> being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluated bid / Recommended by Technical Evaluation Committee.
Haris Traders Lahore	70	Qualified	295/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest responsive

\* Total qualifying marks of technical evaluation are **70** out of 100 marks.

Lowest Evaluated Bid:- **M/S Sagheer Ahmed Govt. Contractor Mzd (1<sup>st</sup> Lowest responsive bidder)**

**33. Scarf****Qty: 500Nos**

Only single bid was received for the subject item hence technical committee has recommended to retender the subject item in order to have a healthy competition

If agreed approval may be granted please for purchase of above mentioned items of uniform articles (lowest wise accordingly).

Inspector (Incharge)  
Central Clothing Store  
**Member/ Secretary**

AIGP Gen/Security  
**Member**

Deputy Inspector General police  
Traffic & Telecommunication  
**Chairman**

**Worthy Inspector General Police**